IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Ian Daniel VON FELLENBERG, et al.

Serial No.: 10/521,124 Group No.: 2876

Filed: August 3, 2005 Examiner: J. Franklin

For: SECURITY DOCUMENT AND VERIFICATION METHOD

Commissioner for Patents P. O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Date: February 20, 2008

PRE-APPEAL BRIEF REQUEST FOR REVIEW

Applicant requests review of the final rejection in the above-identified application. No amendments are being filed with this request.

This request is being filed with a Notice of Appeal.

CERTIFICATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.8(a) and 1.10* (When using Express Mail, the Express Mail label number is mandatory; Express Mail certification is optional.) I hereby certify that, on the date shown below, this correspondence is being: **MAILING** deposited with the United States Postal Service in an envelope addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P. O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. 37 C.F.R. 1.8(a) 37 C.F.R. 1.10* as "Express Mail Post Office to Address" with sufficient postage as first class mail. Mailing Label No. _____ (mandatory) TRANSMISSION transmitted by facsimile to the Patent and Trademark Office. to (571)-273-8300

• Only the date of filing (§ 1.6) will be the date used in a patent term adjustment calculation. Consider "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" (§ 1.10) or facsimile transmission (§ 1.6(d)) for the reply to be accorded the earliest possible filing date for patent term adjustment calculations.

Signature

(type or print name of person certifying)

The review is requested for the reason(s) stated on the Attached Sheet(s).

NOTE: No more than five (5) pages may be provided.

Respectfully submitted,

William R. Evans To Ladas & Parry LLP

26 West 61st Street

New York, New York 10023

Reg. No. 25858

Tel. No. (212) 708-1930

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:

Ian Daniel VON FELLENBERG, et al.

Serial No.:

10/521,124

Group No.:

Filed:

August 3, 2005

Examiner:

J. Franklin

For:

SECURITY DOCUMENT AND VERIFICATION METHOD

Attorney Docket No.:

U 015570-8

Commissioner for Patents

P. O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

ATTACHED SHEETS FOR PRE-APPEAL BRIEF REQUEST FOR REVIEW

Claim 1 requires, "... deriving the authenticity [of a document carrier] from optical transmission of ... perforations in ... viewing, wherein said perforations extend through said carrier ... perpendicular to ... [a] surface" of said carrier. The Cobben patent of the rejection under 35 USC 102 requires non-perpendicular perforations for deriving authenticity.

Other distinctions of Claim 1 are of record, e.g., January 24, 2008. Any one is sufficient to traverse the § 102 rejection..

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING/TRANSMISSION (37 CFR 1.8a) I hereby certify that this correspondence is, on the date shown below, being:				
	deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P. O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450		transmitted by facsimile to the Patent and Trademark Office to (571)-273-8300	
		Signature		
Date:	Date: February 20, 2008		William R. Evans (type or print name of person certifying)	

Claim 21 (inadvertently 20 in the Remarks, page 8, of January 24, 2008) requires first and second perpendicular perforations through a document with first and second cross sections, "... wherein said first cross section is different from said second cross section but said first cross section has an equal area as said second cross section" Nothing in the Cobben patent discloses a document with such perforations of equal areas.

Other distinctions of Claim 21 are of record, e.g., January 24, 2008. Any one is sufficient to traverse the § 102 rejection.

Respectfully submitted,

William K. Evans c/o Ladas & Parry LLP 26 West 61st Street

New York, New York 10023

Reg. No. 25858

Tel. No. (212) 708-1930